



Non-symmetric approximations of functional classes by splines on the real line

Parfinovych N.V.

Let $S_{h,m}$, $h > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, be the spaces of polynomial splines of order m of deficiency 1 with nodes at the points kh , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We obtain exact values of the best (α, β) -approximations by spaces $S_{h,m} \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ in the space $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ for the classes $W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, of functions, defined on the whole real line, integrable on \mathbb{R} and such that their r th derivatives belong to the unit ball of $L_1(\mathbb{R})$.

These results generalize the well-known G.G. Magaril-Ilyayev's and V.M. Tikhomirov's results on the exact values of the best approximations of classes $W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})$ by splines from $S_{h,m} \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ (case $\alpha = \beta = 1$), as well as are non-periodic analogs of the V.F. Babenko's result on the best non-symmetric approximations of classes $W_1^r(\mathbb{T})$ of 2π -periodic functions with r th derivative belonging to the unit ball of $L_1(\mathbb{T})$ by periodic polynomial splines of minimal deficiency.

As a corollary of the main result, we obtain exact values of the best one-sided approximations of classes W_1^r by polynomial splines from $S_{h,m}(\mathbb{T})$. This result is a periodic analogue of the results of A.A. Ligun and V.G. Doronin on the best one-sided approximations of classes W_1^r by spaces $S_{h,m}(\mathbb{T})$.

Key words and phrases: best L_1 -approximation, one-sided approximation, non-symmetric approximation, polynomial spline, functional class.

Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, 72 Gagarin avenue, 49010, Dnipro, Ukraine

E-mail: nat-vic-par@i.ua

Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R}_+ , and \mathbb{R} be the sets of integer positive, integer non-negative, integer, real non-negative, and real numbers, respectively, and \mathbb{T} be the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ with identified ends.

Let $L_p(\mathbb{G})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{R}$, be the spaces of all measurable on \mathbb{G} functions with norms $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}$, $C^r(\mathbb{G})$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, be the spaces of r times continuously differentiable (continuous for $r = 0$) on \mathbb{G} functions, and $AC(\mathbb{G})$ be the set of all absolutely continuous (locally for $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}_+$) on \mathbb{G} functions.

For $f \in L_p(\mathbb{G})$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ we set

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,\beta} = \|\alpha f_+ + \beta f_-\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G})},$$

where $f_{\pm}(t) = \max\{\pm f(t), 0\}$. The quantity

$$E(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,\beta} := \inf_{u \in H} \|f - u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,\beta} \quad (1)$$

is called the best (α, β) -approximation of a function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{G})$ by the set $H \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$ in the metric $L_p(\mathbb{G})$.

УДК 517.5

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D10, 41A17, 41A65, 46A45.

The notation $E(f)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,\beta}$ will be used for the best (α, β) -approximation of a function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{G})$ by subset of constants.

For class of functions $M \subset L_p(\mathbb{G})$, the quantity

$$E(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,\beta} := \sup_{f \in M} E(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,\beta} \quad (2)$$

is called the best (α, β) -approximation of the class M by the set H in the space $L_p(\mathbb{G})$. If $\alpha = \beta = 1$ the quantities (1) and (2) coincide with ordinary best L_p -approximation of a function f (notation $E(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}$) and of class M (notation $E(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}$), respectively.

Let the set $H \subset L_p(\mathbb{G})$ be fixed. We associate with the function f the subsets

$$H_f^+ = \{u(t) : u \in H, u(t) \leq f(t), t \in \mathbb{G}\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_f^- = \{u(t) : u \in H, u(t) \geq f(t), t \in \mathbb{G}\}.$$

For $f \in L_p(\mathbb{G})$ and $M \subset L_p(\mathbb{G})$ we set

$$E^\pm(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})} = \begin{cases} \inf\{\|f - u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G})} : u \in H_f^\pm\}, & H_f^\pm \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty, & H_f^\pm = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

and

$$E^\pm(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})} = \sup_{f \in M} E^\pm(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}.$$

Quantities $E^\pm(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}$ and $E^\pm(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}$ are called the best approximation from below (+) and from above (−) of a function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{G})$ and $M \subset L_p(\mathbb{G})$, respectively.

In the case when \mathbb{G} is a segment, V.F. Babenko [1] (see also [7, Theorems 1.4.10 and 1.5.9]) established that if the set $H \subset L_p(\mathbb{G})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is locally compact then for any function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{G})$, monotonously on α and β

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} E(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});1,\beta} = E^+(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} E(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,1} = E^-(f, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}, \quad (3)$$

for any set $M \subset L_p(\mathbb{G})$, monotonously on α and β

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} E(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});1,\beta} = E^+(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} E(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,1} = E^-(M, H)_{L_p(\mathbb{G})}. \quad (4)$$

In the case $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}$ one can prove (3) and (4) the same.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} L_p^r(\mathbb{G}) &= \{f \in L_1(\mathbb{G}) : f^{(r-1)} \in AC(\mathbb{G}), f^{(r)} \in L_p(\mathbb{G})\}, \\ W_p^r(\mathbb{G}) &= \{f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{G}) : \|f^{(r)}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G})} \leq 1\}, \quad W_{p,q}^r(\mathbb{G}) = W_p^r(\mathbb{G}) \cap L_q(\mathbb{G}). \end{aligned}$$

For $h > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by $S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the collection of functions $s \in C^{(m-1)}(\mathbb{R})$, $m \geq 1$, such that $s^{(m)}|_{(jh;(j+1)h)} = c_j = \text{const}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set $S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R})$ is called the space of polynomial splines on \mathbb{R} of order m and defect 1 with nodes at the points jh , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The subspace of 2π -periodic polynomial splines of order m and defect 1 with nodes at the points $j\pi/n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote as $S_{\pi/n,m}(\mathbb{T})$.

For $\alpha, \beta > 0, \lambda > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$, by $\varphi_{\lambda,m}(\alpha, \beta; t)$ we denote $(2\pi/\lambda)$ -periodic integral of order m with zero mean over the period from even $(2\pi/\lambda)$ -periodic function $\varphi_{\lambda,0}(\alpha, \beta; t)$, which for $t \in [0, \pi/n)$ is defined as follows

$$\varphi_{\lambda,0}(\alpha, \beta; t) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & 0 \leq t \leq \pi\beta/(\lambda(\alpha + \beta)), \\ -\beta, & \pi\beta/(\lambda(\alpha + \beta)) < t < \pi/n. \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha = \beta = 1$ instead of $\varphi_{\lambda,m}(\alpha, \beta; t)$ we will write $\varphi_{\lambda,m}(t)$.

By

$$B_{\lambda,m}(t) = -2\lambda^{-m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\cos(k\lambda t - \pi m/2)}{k^m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

we denote the Bernoulli kernel of order m (see [7, p. 107]).

Note (see [7, p.109]) that for $m \geq 2$ and $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ one has

$$\|\varphi_{1,m}(1, \beta; \cdot) - B_{\lambda,m}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})} \rightarrow 0. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \rightarrow \infty$,

$$E(\varphi_{1,m}(1, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})} \rightarrow E(B_{1,m})_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{6}$$

1 Preliminary information and main results

Let $p = 1, \infty$. It is well known, that for $n, r, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq r - 1$,

$$E(W_p^r(\mathbb{T}), S_{\pi/n,m}(\mathbb{T}))_{L_p(\mathbb{T})} = \frac{\|\varphi_{1,r}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})}}{n^r}. \tag{7}$$

In the case $p = \infty$ and $m = r - 1$, the equality (7) was established by V.M. Tikhomirov [14] and in the other cases by A.A. Ligun [8].

Similar results for one-sided approximations of classes $W_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ by splines were obtained by V.G. Doronin and A.A. Ligun [6]. They proved that for $n, m, r \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq r$,

$$E^\pm(W_1^r(\mathbb{T}), S_{\pi/n,m}(\mathbb{T}))_{L_1(\mathbb{T})} = \frac{E(B_{1,r})_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})}}{n^r}. \tag{8}$$

Later V.F. Babenko [1] established, that for $n, m, r \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq r$, and $\alpha, \beta > 0$,

$$E^\pm(W_1^r(\mathbb{T}), S_{\pi/n,m}(\mathbb{T}))_{L_1(\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta} = \frac{E(\varphi_{1,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})}}{n^r}. \tag{9}$$

Note that considering (5), (6), the result (8) can be obtained from (9) by passing to the limit.

For other results on best approximations of classes $W_1^r(\mathbb{T})$ by splines in a periodic case see [2-5, 11, 12] and references therein.

In was proved in [9] that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \geq r - 1$, and $h > 0$,

$$E(W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R}), S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}))_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{\|\varphi_{1,r}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})} \cdot h^r}{\pi^r}. \tag{10}$$

Moreover, it is shown in [10] that this result can be obtained from its periodic analogue (7).

In this paper, we obtain non-symmetric analogs of equality (10), based on the result of V.F. Babenko (9).

Theorem. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0, r, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq r, h > 0$. Then

$$E(W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R}), S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}))_{L_1(\mathbb{R});\alpha,\beta} = \frac{E(\varphi_{1,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})} \cdot h^r}{\pi^r}.$$

Corollary. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0, r, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq r, h > 0$. Then

$$E^\pm(W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R}), S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}))_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{E(B_{1,r})_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})} h^r}{\pi^r}.$$

2 Proof of the main result

We give a proof of our theorem.

Proof. We obtain an upper bound first. In doing so, we will use ideas and methods from [10]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h > 0$. Let us consider the class $W_p^r(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})$ of $2nh$ -periodic functions. Note that $f \in W_p^r(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $g(t) = f(\frac{nh}{\pi}t) \in (\frac{nh}{\pi})^r \cdot W_p^r(\mathbb{T})$. Similarly, $s \in S_{h,m}(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $\sigma(t) = s(\frac{nh}{\pi}t) \in S_{h,m}(\mathbb{T})$. Then from (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(W_p^r\left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T}\right), S_{h,m}\left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T}\right)\right)_{L_p(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta} &= \left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\right)^r E(W_p^r(\mathbb{T}), S_{h,m}(\mathbb{T}))_{L_p(\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta} \\ &= \frac{E(\varphi_{1,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})} \cdot h^r}{\pi^r}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let now $f \in W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta(\cdot)$ infinitely differentiable on \mathbb{R} function such that $0 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{supp } \eta(\cdot) \subset [-1, 1]$ and $\eta(t) = 1$ subject to $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. For any $l > 0$ put $\eta_l(t) := \eta(t/l)$ and $f_l(\cdot) = f(\cdot)\eta_l(\cdot)$. By Leibniz's formula we have

$$f_l^{(r)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} f^{(j)}(t) l^{-(r-j)} \eta_l^{(r-j)}(t). \quad (12)$$

Stain's inequality [13] implies the boundedness of the derivatives $x^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$, in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. From here and from (12) we obtain

$$\|f_l^{(r)}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \rho(l) + \|f^{(r)}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \rho(l) + 1,$$

where $\rho(l) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$.

By \tilde{f}_h denote $4nh$ -periodic continuation of function $(\rho(2nh) + 1)^{-1} f_{2nh}(\cdot) \in W_{[-2nh, 2nh]}^r$ and $\|\tilde{f}_h^{(r)}\|_{L_p(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})} \leq 1$. Thus $\tilde{f}_h \in W_p^r(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})$. Since $S_{h,m}(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})$ is a finite-dimensional space, there exists a spline s_n^* from this space such that

$$\|\tilde{f}_h - s_n^*\|_{L_p(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta} = E\left(\tilde{f}_h, S_{h,m}\left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T}\right)\right)_{L_p(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta}.$$

Since

$$E\left(\tilde{f}_h, S_{h,m}\left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T}\right)\right)_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta} \leq \|\tilde{f}_h\|_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta},$$

using the inequality

$$\min\{\alpha, \beta\} \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G})} \leq \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G});\alpha,\beta} \leq \max\{\alpha, \beta\} \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbb{G})},$$

we get

$$\min\{\alpha, \beta\} \|s_n^*\|_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})} \leq \|s_n^* - \tilde{f}_h + \tilde{f}_h\|_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta} \leq 2\|\tilde{f}_h\|_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T});\alpha,\beta} \leq 2\max\{\alpha, \beta\} \|\tilde{f}_h\|_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})},$$

whence

$$\|s_n^*\|_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})} \leq \frac{2\max\{\alpha, \beta\}}{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}} \|\tilde{f}_h\|_{L_1(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T})}.$$

Thus the conditions of Proposition 1 in [10] are satisfied. According to them there exists a spline $\xi \in S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and a sequence $\{s_{n_j}^*\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|\xi - s_{n_j}^*\|_{L_\infty(I)} \rightarrow 0$, $j \rightarrow \infty$, for any finite segment $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

We set $a_n = \rho(2nh) + 1$. Taking into account that $\tilde{f}_h(\cdot)|_{[-nh,nh]} = a_n^{-1}f(\cdot)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_j \geq n$, based on (11) we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - s_{n_j}^*\|_{L_1([-nh,nh]);\alpha,\beta} &\leq \|a_{n_j}\tilde{f}_{n_j} - s_{n_j}^*\|_{L_1([-n_jh,n_jh]);\alpha,\beta} \\ &\leq a_{n_j}E\left(W_1^r\left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T}\right), S_{h,m}\left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T}\right)\right)_{L_1\left(\frac{nh}{\pi}\mathbb{T}\right);\alpha,\beta} \\ &= a_{n_j}\frac{E(\varphi_{1,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}h^r}{\pi^r}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Passing in (13) to the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$, according to [10, Proposition 1] we obtain

$$\|\tilde{f} - \zeta\|_{L_1([-nh,nh]);\alpha,\beta} \leq \frac{E(\varphi_{1,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}h^r}{\pi^r}.$$

Whence, taking into account Fatou’s lemma, we have

$$\|\tilde{f} - \zeta\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R});\alpha,\beta} \leq \frac{E(\varphi_{1,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}h^r}{\pi^r}.$$

The upper bound is obtained.

Let c_0 be the constant of the best approximation of function $\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider the set

$$e = \{t \in [-h, h] : |\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) - c_0| > E(\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot))_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T})} - \varepsilon\}.$$

Let $f_\varepsilon(t)$ be the $2h$ -periodic function such that

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} (\text{mes } e)^{-1}\text{sign}(\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) - c_0), & t \in e, \\ 0, & t \in ([-h, h] \setminus e), \end{cases}$$

and $f_{\varepsilon,r}$ be the r th antiderivative of $f_\varepsilon(t)$.

By $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}$ we denote the product $f_{\varepsilon,r}(t)\eta(t)$, where $\eta(t)$ is infinitely differentiable on \mathbb{R} function such that $0 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [-\varepsilon - h, h + \varepsilon], \\ 0, & t \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus [-3\varepsilon - h, h + 3\varepsilon]), \end{cases}$$

(see [15, p. 77]). It is clear that $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}), \text{supp } \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r} = [-3\varepsilon - h, h + 3\varepsilon]$, and

$$\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}^{(r)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} f_{\varepsilon,r}^{(j)}(t)\eta^{(r-j)}(t).$$

Since for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$

$$\text{supp } \eta^{(r-j)} = [-h - 3\varepsilon, -h - \varepsilon] \cup [h + \varepsilon, h + 3\varepsilon],$$

$\eta^{(r-j)}$ and $f_{\varepsilon,r}^{(j)}(t)$ are continuous on both segments $[-h - 3\varepsilon, -h - \varepsilon]$ and $[h + \varepsilon, h + 3\varepsilon]$, we can represent the function $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}^{(r)}(t)$ as $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}^{(r)}(t) = f_\varepsilon(t)\eta(t) + \rho(t)$, where

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} O(1), & t \in [-h - 3\varepsilon, -h - \varepsilon] \cup [h + \varepsilon, h + 3\varepsilon], \\ 0, & t \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus ([-h - 3\varepsilon, -h - \varepsilon] \cup [h + \varepsilon, h + 3\varepsilon])), \end{cases}$$

in particular, there exists a constant C such that $|\rho(t)| \leq C$.

Now, we establish the upper estimate for the norm $\|\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}^{(r)}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}^{(r)}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{-h-3\varepsilon}^{h+3\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon(t)\eta(t) + \rho(t)| dt \leq \int_{-h-3\varepsilon}^{h+3\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon(t)\eta(t)| dt + \int_{[-h-3\varepsilon,-h-\varepsilon] \cup [h+\varepsilon,h+3\varepsilon]} |\rho(t)| dt \\ &\leq \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L_1([-h,h])} + \int_{[-h-3\varepsilon,-h] \cup [h,h+3\varepsilon]} |f_\varepsilon(t)| dt + 4C\varepsilon \leq 1 + C_1\varepsilon, \quad C_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the function $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}/(1 + C_1\varepsilon) \in W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})$. Let us obtain a lower estimate for the quantity $E := E(W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R}), S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}))_{L_1(\mathbb{R});\alpha,\beta}$.

Using the duality theorem (see, for example, [7, Theorem 1.4.9]) we can write

$$E = \sup_{f \in W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{\substack{\|g\|_{\infty;\alpha^{-1},\beta^{-1}} \\ g \perp S_{h,m}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)g(t) dt.$$

Based on [9, Lemmas 1.4 and 1.1] after r times integration by parts we have

$$E \geq \sup_{f \in W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{\substack{g \in W_{\infty;\alpha^{-1},\beta^{-1}}^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}) \\ g(kh)=0, k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)g^{(m+1)}(t) dt = \sup_{f \in W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{\substack{g \in W_{\infty;\alpha^{-1},\beta^{-1}}^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}) \\ g(kh)=0, k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(r)}(t)g^{(m-r+1)}(t) dt.$$

Since (with the corresponding shift) the function $\varphi_{\pi/h,m+1}(\alpha, \beta; t) \in W_{\infty;\alpha^{-1},\beta^{-1}}^{m+1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the conditions $\varphi_{\pi/h,m+1}(\alpha, \beta; kh) = 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E &\geq \sup_{f \in W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(r)}(t)\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) dt \geq \frac{1}{1 + C_1\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,r}^{(r)}(t)\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + C_1\varepsilon} \left(\int_{-h-3\varepsilon}^{h+3\varepsilon} (f_\varepsilon(t)\eta(t) + \rho(t))\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + C_1\varepsilon} \left(\int_{-h}^h f_\varepsilon(t)(\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) - c_0) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{[-h-3\varepsilon,-h] \cup [h,h+3\varepsilon]} (f_\varepsilon\eta(t) + \rho(t))\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) dt \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 + C_1\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\text{mes } e} \int_e |\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; t) - c_0| dt + C_2\varepsilon \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{1 + C_1\varepsilon} (E(\varphi_{\pi/h,r}(\alpha, \beta; \cdot)) + (C_2 - 1)\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we obtain the required lower bound. Thus, the theorem is proved. □

References

[1] Babenko V.F. *Nonsymmetric approximations in spaces of summable functions*. Ukrainian Math. J. 1982, **34** (4), 331–336. doi:10.1007/BF01091584 (translation of Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 1982, **34** (4), 409–416. (in Russian))

[2] Babenko V.F., Parfinovich N.V. *On the best L_1 -approximations of functional classes by splines under restrictions imposed on their derivatives*. Ukrainian Math. J. 1999, **51** (4), 481–491. doi:10.1007/BF02591753 (translation of Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 1999, **51** (4), 435–444. (in Russian))

[3] Babenko V.F., Parfinovich N.V. *Exact values of best approximations for classes of periodic functions by splines of deficiency 2*. Math. Notes. 2009, **85** (3–4), 515–527. doi:10.1134/S0001434609030237 (translation of Mat. Zametki 2009, **85** (4), 538–551. (in Russian))

- [4] Babenko V.F., Parfinovich N.V. *Nonsymmetric approximations of classes of periodic functions by splines of defect 2 and Jackson-type inequalities*. Ukrainian Math. J. 2009, **61** (11), 1695–1709. doi:10.1007/s11253-010-0307-9 (translation of Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 2009, **61** (11), 1443–1454. (in Russian))
- [5] Babenko V.F., Parfinovich N.V. *On the exact values of the best approximations of classes of differentiable periodic functions by splines*. Math. Notes. 2010, **87** (5–6), 623–635. doi:10.1134/S0001434610050032 (translation of Mat. Zametki 2010, **87** (5), 669–683. (in Russian))
- [6] Doronin V.G., Ligun A.A. *Upper bounds for the best one-sided approximation by splines of the classes $W^r L_1$* . Math. Notes. 1976, **19** (1), 7–10. doi:10.1007/BF01147610 (translation of Mat. Zametki 1976, **19** (1), 11–17. (in Russian))
- [7] Korneichuk N.P. *Exact Constants in Approximation Theory*. Nauka, Moscow, 1987. (in Russian)
- [8] Ligun A.A. *Inequalities for upper bounds of functionals*. Anal. Math. 1976, **2** (1), 11–40. doi:10.1007/BF02079905
- [9] Magaril-Il'yaev G.G. *On best approximation by splines of function classes on the line*. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 1993, **194**, 153–164. (translation of Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 1992, **194**, 148–159. (in Russian))
- [10] Magaril-Il'yaev G.G., Tikhomirov V.M. *On approximation of functional classes on the real line by splines and entire functions*. In: *Function Spaces and Their Application to Differential Equations*. Collection of Scientific Papers. RUDN, Moscow, 1992, 116–129. (in Russian)
- [11] Parfinovich N.V. *Exact values of the best (α, β) -approximations for the classes of convolutions with kernels that do not increase the number of sign changes*. Ukrainian Math. J. 2018, **69** (8), 1248–1261. doi:10.1007/s11253-017-1428-1 (translation of Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 2017, **69** (8), 1073–1083. (in Russian))
- [12] Parfinovich N.V. *On extremal subspaces for the widths of classes of convolutions*. Res. Math. 2017, **22** (8), 68–79. doi:10.15421/241708
- [13] Stain E.M. *Functions of exponential type*. Ann. Math. 1957, **65** (3), 582–592. doi:10.2307/1970066
- [14] Tikhomirov V.M. *On the n -dimensional widths of some functional classes*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR. 1960, **130** (4), 734–737. (in Russian)
- [15] Vladimirov V.S. *Equations of Mathematical Physics*. Nauka, Moscow, 1967. (in Russian)

Received 02.03.2021

Revised 03.11.2021

Парфінович Н.В. Несимметричні наближення функціональних класів сплайнами на дійсній осі // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2021. — Т.13, №3. — С. 831–837.

Нехай $S_{h,m}$, $h > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ — простори поліноміальних сплайнів порядку m дефекту 1 з вузлами в точках kh , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Отримано точні значення найкращих (α, β) -наближень просторами $S_{h,m} \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ у просторі $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ для класів $W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, функцій, визначених на всій дійсній прямій, інтегрованих на \mathbb{R} і таких, що r -ті похідні належать одиничній кулі $L_1(\mathbb{R})$.

Ці результати узагальнюють відомі результати Г.Г. Магарила-Ілляєва та В.М. Тихомірова щодо точних значень найкращих наближень класів $W_{1,1}^r(\mathbb{R})$ сплайнами з $S_{h,m} \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ (випадок $\alpha = \beta = 1$), а також є неперіодичними аналогами В.Ф. Бабенка щодо найкращих несиметричних наближень класів $W_1^r(\mathbb{T})$ 2π -періодичних функцій з r -тою похідною, що належить до одиничної кулі простору $L_1(\mathbb{T})$ періодичними поліноміальними сплайнами мінімального дефекту.

Як наслідок основного результату, ми отримуємо точні значення найкращих односторонніх наближень класів W_1^r поліноміальними сплайнами з $S_{h,m}(\mathbb{T})$. Цей результат є періодичним аналогом результатів А.А. Лігуна і В.Г. Дороніна про найкращі односторонні наближення класів W_1^r просторами $S_{h,m}(\mathbb{T})$.

Ключові слова і фрази: найкраще L_1 -наближення, одностороннє наближення, несиметричне наближення, поліноміальний сплайн, функціональний клас.